Risk Register

Appendix 1

FutureFit Oxford(shire) Project (FOx Project)

As at: 06/09/2023

									Gross Current Target					Tar	get	1					
Re	f Title	Risk Description	Opp / Threat	Cause	Consequence	Risk Treatment	Date Raised	Owner	Р		Р		Score	Р	1	Comments	Control / Mitigation Description	Date Due	Action	% Progress	Action Owner
			Tilleat			rreatment	Raiseu												Status	Progress	
		EDI not considered in development of FOx partnership processes	Opportunity	Poor scoping of engagement with customers/clients	poorly designed processes that do not meet EDI requirements.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	WP2 (Governance) has a specific activity to understand customer/client segments for the FOx, to ensure that over the longer term services can grow that go beyond able-to-pay. There are extensive engagement activities throughou the project that will ensure that the diverse needs of local communities are incorporated.	funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Lack of diversity 2 consideration	Lack of diversity or consideration of diversity in partnership ways of working.	Opportunity	Poor scoping of engagement with team members and stakeholders	Poor outcomes for team members and stakeholders.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	All partners committed to the LCH Ethical Framework for the detailed design of trials. Best practice applied in relation to EDI. Subcontracts to include EDI considerations in their scope of work.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Equitable retrofit 3 / FutureFit	Retrofit / FutureFit outcomes are not equitable	Opportunity	Limited engagement with stakeholders	It is not possible to develop FOx partnership or the enabling environment in a way that fully incorporates EDI requirements, to ensure retrofit/FutureFit is equitable.	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	1	5	5	1	5	None	Utilizing existing networks strong relationships with stakeholders will help ensure EDI is addressed within project outputs, and that EDI is considered at the design stage of retrofit solutions.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
77	4 Inflation costs	project costs (i.e., materials and subcontractor costs) due to fast escalation of inflation rate	Threat	Inflation rates	Project cannot deliver as much work due to increased costs.	Contingency Plans	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	5	4	4	1	4	4	1	None	Small numbers of installs directly delivered as part of the project therefore low risk from increasing product costs. Subcontractor quotes received in context of high UK inflation and based on project start in Jan 2024. Contingency plan would be to reduce the amount of installs to remain inside budget.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Viability of FutureFit One Stop Shop 5 models	Poor viability of models scoped for different retrofit/FutureFit approaches	Threat	Limited engagement with customer/client segments	Low uptake and poor commercial viability of models scoped for different FOSS approaches	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	None	The project will work with demonstrator FutureFit One Stop Shops and we also have touchpoints with businesses through all LA partner economic development teams.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	FOx Partnership does not meet 6 aim.	FOx partnership does not achieve required retrofit / FutureFit aims	Threat	Limited engagement with stakeholders	It is not possible to develop an holistic, innovative and locally informed FOx or enabling environment	Reduce	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	5	1	5	5	1	5	None	Utilizing existing networks including ZCOP and strong relationships with stakeholders will help with extensive national reach into leading bodies in the retrofit space.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Low retrofit 7 growth	Low levels of retrofit growth	Threat	Low uptake and challenges demonstrating commercial viability of FOx models.	Limited market acceptance and demand for building owners to implement retro/FutureFit.	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	3	5	1	3	3	1	3	None	WP2 will involve development of a comprehensive marketing, communications and engagement approach. Inclusion of seed funding in WP2 will secure engagement. Project partner, Lucy Group, are an engaged and influential, local building owner.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Stakeholders do not accept novel 8 finance solutions		Opportunity	Limited engagement with stakeholders	Pushback may result in financial solutions trials terminating early, necessitating project plan changes additional efforts which could lead to increased project costs.	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	4	2	2	4	2	2	None	Expert input from consultants (e.g., Arup and Anthesis) will engage with financiers to understand their requirements around risks and novel finance. An output of the project wibe to ensure that the financial solutions we trial are financially viable.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded
	Supply chain 9 confidence	market conditions impact supply chain	Threat	Low customer confidence in the supply chain due to marke conditions (e.g. high costs)	Low uptake of Retrofit/FutureFit measures through WP3 financial trials	Accept	06.09.23	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	4	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	Working with established demonstrator FOSSs who have experience generating engagement with clients and customers locally within the context of a variable RF market. This means that the project has a better chance of getting the required levels of engagement to test the financial mechanisms.	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded	TBC by Project Board if grant funding awarded

4

_																						
																		Project partners to recruit project resource ahead of time to	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
					Delivery delays due to	Key project outputs are not												ensure that adequate persons are available for delivery of	Project	Project	Project	
					dependency on key personnel			06.09.23	TBC by									project.	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
					and/or inexperience in	leading to cascading		00.00.20	Project board										grant	grant	grant	Project Board
			Project deadlines not		delivering on short term	impacts on WPs with			if grant funding										funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
L	10 Pi	oject deadlines	met	Threat	deadlines	interdependencies.	Reduce		awarded	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
	L																	Knowledge is already embedded across organisations and	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
		oject																a shared file storage/workspace across all partner	Project	Project	Project	
		pendant on						06.09.23	TBC by									organisations has already been established. Therefore, los		Board if	Board if	TBC by
			Project's		Key members of the project			00.03.20	Project Board									of key members of the project team would not mean loss of		grant	grant	Project Board
			dependency on key		team being absent and failing		Contingency		if grant funding									access to the knowledge base or products required to	funding	funding	funding	if grant fundin
	11 ex	pertise	team members	Threat	project management deadlines	completed or is delayed	Plans		awarded	2	4	1	4	4	1	4	None	deliver this project successfully	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
																		OCC already has well established relationships with many				
																		of the project partners through delivering Phase 1 and long	H			
																		standing partnerships working on the net zero pathways.				
																		The governance structure will clarify the decision-making				
								06.09.23										hierarchy, so that the project can meet the desired outputs.		TBC by	TBC by	
					Inability to efficiently progress			00.03.20										We have done a significant amount of collaborative project		Project	Project	
			Difficulty in aligning		due to difficult in aligning and	completed at all or			TBC by									pre-planning as a consortium which has mapped the project		Board if	Board if	TBC by
			partner views and		accommodating different	completed in time, due to			Project Board								1	outputs and roles of different project partners. This has	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
			prioties within a		interests and views of the 11	lack of consensus amongst			if grant funding									already accommodated the different interests and views of	funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
L	12 Pa	rtnerships	partnership project.	Opportunity	project partners	multiple project partners	Accept		awarded	2	4	1	4	4	1	4	None	project partners.	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
						WP3 (financial trials WP)												Experienced staff being brought onto the project to manage	9			
1						cannot be completed to the			1								l	this element, and it's expected that IUK stagegate will focus		TBC by	TBC by	
						agreed timescale and												on this WP.	Project	Project	Project	
						budget, this could also		06.09.23											Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
			Financial		Inability to efficiently manage	compromise on quality or			Project Board										grant	grant	grant	Project Board
		nancial	management of		funds including seed funding	prevent delivery of project			if grant funding										funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
L	13 m	anagement	grant funds	Threat	and financial trials	outputs.	Reduce		awarded	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
						Project cannot be												All partners have a clear and transparent procurement	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
						completed to the agreed												process to secure subcontractors, and we are aware there	Project	Project	Project	
			Inability to secure		External dependencies on	timescale and budget, this		06.09.23	TBC by									are multiple subcontractors with the relevant skills to carry	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
			appropriate		securing and managing sub-	could also compromise on		00.00.20	Project Board									out the work specified.	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
			subcontractors on		contractors (i.e., WP3 on	quality and effectiveness of			if grant funding										funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
L	14 St	bcontractors	time.	Threat	finance)	project outputs.	Reduce		awarded	4	3	1	3	3	1	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
																		Many partners are used to remote-working which reduces	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
																		travel requirements and therefore carbon emissions. Most	Project	Project	Project	
								06.09.23	TBC by									project partners are local to Oxford and won't be travelling	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
			Project has a short			FOx project has a higher		00.00.20	Project Board									long distances to attend events. Moreover, most will travel	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
	_		term impact on		Partner travel to workshops	than necessary carbon	L .		if grant funding									by public transport rather than by car.	funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
∠ ∟	15 C	rbon footprint	climate change.	Opportunity		footprint.	Reduce		awarded	4	3	1	2	2	1	2	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
0					FOx doesn't achieve the													FOx project runs successfully due to good management	TDO	TD0 !	TD0 !	
					overall aim to increase RF &				1								l	and implementation of the project. Project partners are	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
			Desired de 193		FF in Oxford(shire) Carbon				TDC h								l	committed to achieving goal of increasing RF & FF.	Project	Project	Project	TDC b
			Project doesn't have		emissions associated with			06.09.23									l		Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
			a long term positive		buildings and energy use are				Project Board										grant	grant	grant	Project Board
1			impact on climate		not reduced against current	10/			if grant funding	_									funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
F	16 im	pact	change.	Opportunity	levels in Oxford(shire)	Worsening climate change.	Accept		awarded	3	5	1	3	3	1	3	None		awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
									1								l	WP4 will include a FutureFit training and skills needs	TBC by	TBC by	TBC by	
			1		Objects of shilled				TDC h								l	analysis, this will enable us to identify technical skills gaps.	Project	Project	Project	TDC bu
			Lack of diverse		Shortage of skilled			06.09.23	TBC by								l	WP4 will also develop a skills intervention plan and develop		Board if	Board if	TBC by
			range of technical		professionals capable of	Desirations (and)			Project board								l	an internship program for those seeking practical	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
	47		skills required to		designing, installing and	Project issues / could lead	Dadua		if grant funding									experience of non-domestic assessment delivery.	funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
L	1/ La	ck of skills	deliver FOSSs.	Threat	maintaining complex systems.	to delays or quality issues.	Reduce		awarded	4	5	2	2	4	2	2	None	The FO:	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded
									1								l	The FOx project's main focus is to test processes and	TDO	TD0 1	TDO	
									1								l	business models to support scaling of retrofit and transition		TBC by	TBC by	
									TDC h								l	to FutureFit. However, we will use known and trusted	Project	Project	Project	TDC bu
	I.				Integration of renewable			06.09.23									l	suppliers and we are developing quality assurance	Board if	Board if	Board if	TBC by
- 1		egration of			energy systems, storage,	l			Project Board								l	standards that will reduce risk in technology integration.	grant	grant	grant	Project Board
1			Poor integration of		smart meters and controls is	Lack of customer	Dadua		if grant funding									WP4, WP5 and WP6 have elements which focus on	funding	funding	funding	if grant funding
	18 te	chnologies	energy technologies	Threat	not seamless.	confidence in the FOSSs	Reduce	1	awarded	3	4	1	3	3	1	3	None	developing the supply chain.	awarded	awarded	awarded	awarded